



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/054,555	01/22/2002	Jean-Marc Follini	68.0211	7181	
75	90 09/08/2003				
Schlumberger Technology Corporation Schlumberger Reservoir Completions 14910 Airline Road			EXAMINER		
			DANG, HOANG C		
P.O. Box 1590 Rosharon, TX	77583-1590	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3672		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/054,5	55		FOLLINI ET AL.	/			
		Examine	_		Art Unit	\sim			
		Hoang D	<u>~</u>		3672				
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	e cover she	t with the co	orrespondence ad	Idress -			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time marger SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply of the period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' as be available under the provisions of 37 5 from the mailing date of this communic specified above is less than thirty (30) date is specified above, the maximum statutor the set or extended period for reply will, the Office later than three months after the office later than three months. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. 1ys, a reply within the state ry period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	ent, however, m utory minimum o ill expire SIX (6) lication to becor	ay a reply be time of thirty (30) days MONTHS from to the ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1)⊠ Responsiv	ve to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 August 200</u>	<u>3</u> .						
2a)☐ This action	n is FINAL . 2b)	This action is	non-final.						
closed in a	application is in condition for accordance with the practice					ne merits is			
Disposition of Clain									
, –	 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
ř	• •	itnarawn from coi	isideration.						
	is/are allowed.):./:td							
	b)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-9,13,14,17-26 and 28-33</u> is/are rejected. Divided to: Divided to: Divided to: Divided to:								
<u> </u>									
8) 🔀 Claim(s) 34	<u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.						
	eation is objected to by the Ex	xaminer							
* * * *	i(s) filed on <u>21 March 2002</u> is		ed or b) X ol	biected to bv	the Examiner.				
	may not request that any objection								
· ·	ed drawing correction filed or	= : :							
	I, corrected drawings are require			,,	•				
12)☐ The oath or	declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for	foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)	Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certi	fied copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received.	•					
2.☐ Certi	fied copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received	in Application	on No				
_ ·	es of the certified copies of the option of the option from the Internation for detailed Office action for the option of the option	onal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
	ment is made of a claim for d		•			l application).			
a) \square The tra	inslation of the foreign langua ment is made of a claim for o	age provisional ap	plication ha	as been rece	eived.	. прризамену.			
Attachment(s)	mont to made of a dialiff for t	20/1100tio priority u		J. J. J. 120	and the				
Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspers	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		· —	e of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/054,555

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-33 and 37-39 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 34-36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: The expression "the plural bores" in line 2 has no proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Callihan et al (US 4,450,912).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's when members (16), (35) and (16e) of Callihan et al are respectively considered as "body", "anchoring mechanism" and "flow conduit" as recited.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,555

Art Unit: 3672

As to claims 2-3, see sealing elements 16b, 16c, etc.

As to claims 7-9, 30 and 31, see sliding valves 17-18 and check valve 25 or/and 32.

As to claims 13-14, the "bypass device" does not distinguish from the tubular extension 16p.

As to claim 18, see radial ports 16g or/and 16h.

As for claims 21 and 28, see column 4, lines 58-63.

As for claims 23-25, the "landing mechanism" does not distinguish from member 35 of Callihan et al.

As to claim 26, the "sleeve formed of a stretchable material" does not distinguish from one of the seals (16b, 16c, 16q) of Callahan et al.

6. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker et al (US 2,079,830).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's when members (17, 14, 18), (19) and (18) of Baker et al are respectively considered as "body", "anchoring mechanism" and "flow conduit" as recited.

As to claim 2, see sealing element 19.

As to claims 7-9 and 30-31, see valve 21-23, sliding valve 25 and check valve 28.

As to claim 17, see "stretchable outer sleeve" 19.

As to claims 18, see "radial ports" 27.

As to claim 19, see "plug" 35.

As for claim 20, valves 21-24 equalize the pressure across the wall 14 when the packing sleeve 19 is collapsed (see page 1, column 2, lines 40-44).

Application/Control Number: 10/054,555

Art Unit: 3672

As for claims 23-25, the "landing mechanism" or/and "sealing element" do not distinguish from member 19 of Baker et al.

As to claim 26, the "sleeve formed of a stretchable material" does not distinguish from packing sleeve 19.

7. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson (US 5,806,614) or Wood et al (US 4,396,075).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the Nelson reference when members (16), (30A-30C) and (70) are respectively considered as "casing assembly", "branch legs" and "cementing tool" as recited.

Similarly, the claimed structure reads exactly on the Wood et al reference when members (5,13) and (16) are respectively considered as "casing assembly" and "cementing tool" as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-6, 10-12, 15, 16, 27, 38 and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Drawings

9. The substitute drawings filed on March 21, 2002 are objected to because in Figures 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9A, 9B, 10A-10J,. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required

Art Unit: 3672

in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 703-308-2149. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

10054555.1rej